California Sportfishing Protection Alliance

"An Advocate for Fisheries, Habitat and Water Quality" 3536 Rainier Avenue, Stockton, CA 95204 Tel: 209-464-5067, Fax: 209-464-1028, E: deltakeep@aol.com

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

May 21, 2010

Steve Batson, Plant Manager Toby Goyette, Environmental Manager Syar Concrete LLC 39820 Kentucky Ave Woodland, CA 95695

Toby Goyette Syar Concrete LLC P.O. Box 2700 Napa, CA 94558

Re: Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit Under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act

Dear Mr. Batson and Mr. Goyette:

I am writing on behalf of the California Sportfishing Protection Alliance ("CSPA") in regard to violations of the Clean Water Act ("Act") that CSPA believes are occurring at Syar Concrete LLC's Woodland Plant located at 39820 Kentucky Ave. in Woodland, California ("Facility"). CSPA is a non-profit public benefit corporation dedicated to the preservation, protection, and defense of the environment, wildlife, and natural resources of the Sacramento River, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta (the "Delta"), and other California waters. This letter is being sent to you as the responsible owners, officers, or operators of Syar Concrete LLC's Woodland Plant (all recipients are hereinafter collectively referred to as "Syar Concrete").

This letter addresses Syar Concrete's unlawful discharge of pollutants from the Facility into the City of Woodland storm drain system, the Tule Canal, the Sacramento River, and, ultimately, the Delta. The Facility is discharging storm water pursuant to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permit No. CA S000001, State Water Resources Control Board, Order No. 92-12-DWQ as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ (hereinafter "General Permit"). The WDID identification number for the Facility listed on documents submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board") and California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region ("Regional Board") is 5S57I021209. The

Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 2 of 15

Facility is engaged in ongoing violations of the substantive and procedural requirements of the General Permit.

Section 505(b) of the Clean Water Act requires a citizen to give notice of intent to file suit sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under Section 505(a) of the Act (33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)). Notice must be given to the alleged violator, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the state in which the violations occur.

As required by the Clean Water Act, this Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit provides notice of the violations that have occurred, and continue to occur, at the Facility. Consequently, Syar Concrete is hereby placed on formal notice by CSPA that, after the expiration of sixty days from the date of this Notice of Violations and Intent to Sue, CSPA intends to file suit in federal court against Syar Concrete under Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)), for violations of the Clean Water Act and the Order. These violations are described more extensively below.

I. Background.

On October 3, 2007, Syar Concrete filed its Notice of Intent to Comply with the Terms of the General Permit to Discharge Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activity ("NOI"). Syar Concrete certifies that the Facility is classified under SIC code 3273 ("concrete products"). The Facility collects and discharges storm water from its 5.75 acre industrial site into at least two storm drain outfalls located at the Facility. Based on the Facility's NOI, the storm water discharged by Syar Concrete to those drains is then discharged indirectly to waters of the United States. On information and belief, CSPA alleges that the storm water is discharged to the City of Woodland storm drain system which flows untreated into the Tule Canal, which empties into the Sacramento River, and then flows to the Delta. The Regional Board has identified waters of the Sacramento River (from Knights Landing to the Delta) as failing to meet applicable water quality standards for mercury, chlordane, DDT, dieldrin, PCBs, and "unknown toxicity." *See* http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/impaired_waters_ list/final_2008_303d/category5_report.shtml.

The Regional Board has identified beneficial uses of the Central Valley Region's waters and established water quality standards for the Sacramento River, the Delta and their tributaries, including the Tule Canal, in "The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region – The Sacramento River Basin and The San Joaquin River Basin," generally referred to as the Basin Plan. *See* http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/basin_plans/sacsjr.pdf. The beneficial uses of the Sacramento River, the Delta and their tributaries, including the Tule Canal, include among others water contact recreation, non-contact water recreation, municipal and domestic water supply, endangered and threatened species habitat, shellfish harvesting, and fish spawning. The non-contact water recreation use is defined as "[u]ses of water for recreational activities involving proximity to water, but where there is generally no body contact with water, nor any likelihood of ingestion of water. These uses include, but are not limited to, picnicking, Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 3 of 15

sunbathing, hiking, . ., camping, boating, . ., hunting, sightseeing, or aesthetic enjoyment in conjunction with the above activities." Basin Plan at II-1.00 - II-2.00. Visible pollution, including visible sheens and cloudy or muddy water from industrial areas, impairs people's use of the Tule Canal, the Sacramento River and Delta for contact and non-contact water recreation.

The Basin Plan establishes water quality standards for the Sacramento River, the Delta and their tributaries, including the Tule Canal. It provides that "[w]ater shall be free of discoloration that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses." Id. at III-5.00. It includes a narrative toxicity standard which states that "[a]ll waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life." Id. at III-8.01. For the Delta, the Basin Plan establishes trace element water quality objectives for several metals, including 0.3 mg/L for iron, 0.1 mg/L for zinc, and 0.01 mg/L for copper. Id. at Table III-1. The Basin Plan also prohibits the discharges of oil and grease, stating that "[w]aters shall not contain oils, greases, waxes, or other materials in concentrations that cause nuisance, result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the water or on objects in the water, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses." Id. at III-6.00. The Basin Plan provides that the pH shall not be depressed below 6.5 nor raised above 8.5. Id. The Basin Plan requires that "[w]aters shall be free of changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses." Id. at III-9.00. The Basin Plan establishes a dissolved oxygen standard of 7.0 mg/L for the Sacramento River and Delta waters. Id. at III-5.00. The Basin Plan provides that electrical conductivity in the Sacramento River shall not exceed 240 micromhos/cm (50 percentile) or 340 micromhos/cm (90 percentile) at the I Street Bridge. Id.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has published benchmark levels as guidelines for determining whether a facility discharging industrial storm water has implemented the requisite best available technology economically achievable ("BAT") and best conventional pollutant control technology ("BCT"). The following benchmarks have been established for pollutants discharged by Syar Concrete: pH – 6.0-9.0 units; total suspended solids ("TSS") – 100 mg/L, oil & grease ("O&G") – 15 mg/L, total organic carbon – 120 mg/L, nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen ("N+N") – 0.68 mg/L, aluminum – 0.75 mg/L, lead – 0.0816 mg/L, copper – 0.0636 mg/L, zinc – 0.117 mg/L, chemical oxygen demand ("COD") – 120 mg/L, and iron – 1.0 mg/L. The State Board also has proposed adding a benchmark level to the General Permit for specific conductance (200 μ mho/cm).

II. Alleged Violations of the NPDES Permit.

A. Discharges in Violation of the Permit.

Syar Concrete has violated and continues to violate the terms and conditions of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit. Section 402(p) of the Act prohibits the discharge of storm water associated with industrial activities, except as permitted under an NPDES permit (33 U.S.C. § 1342) such as the General Permit. The General Permit prohibits any discharges of storm water associated with industrial activities or authorized non-storm water discharges that have not been subjected to BAT or BCT. Effluent Limitation B(3) of the General Permit

Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 4 of 15

requires dischargers to reduce or prevent pollutants in their storm water discharges through implementation of BAT for toxic and nonconventional pollutants and BCT for conventional pollutants. BAT and BCT include both nonstructural and structural measures. General Permit, Section A(8). Conventional pollutants are TSS, O&G, pH, BOD, and fecal coliform. 40 C.F.R. § 401.16. All other pollutants are either toxic or nonconventional. *Id.*; 40 C.F.R. § 401.15.

In addition, Discharge Prohibition A(1) of the General Permit prohibits the discharge of materials other than storm water (defined as non-storm water discharges) that discharge either directly or indirectly to waters of the United States. Discharge Prohibition A(2) of the General Permit prohibits storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges that cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance.

Receiving Water Limitation C(1) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit prohibits storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges to surface or groundwater that adversely impact human health or the environment. Receiving Water Limitation C(2) of the General Permit also prohibits storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges that cause or contribute to an exceedance of any applicable water quality standards contained in a Statewide Water Quality Control Plan or the applicable Regional Board's Basin Plan.

Syar Concrete has discharged and continues to discharge storm water with unacceptable levels of TSS, specific conductivity, iron, copper, zinc, aluminum, N+N, pH, and other pollutants in violation of the General Permit. Syar Concrete's sampling and analysis results reported to the Regional Board confirm discharges of specific pollutants and materials other than storm water in violation of the Permit provisions listed above. Self-monitoring reports under the Permit are deemed "conclusive evidence of an exceedance of a permit limitation." *Sierra Club v. Union Oil*, 813 F.2d 1480, 1493 (9th Cir. 1988).

The following discharges of pollutants from the Facility have contained concentrations of pollutants in excess of numeric water quality standards established in the Basin Plan and thus violated Discharge Prohibitions A(1) and A(2) and Receiving Water Limitations C(1) and C(2) and are evidence of ongoing violations of Effluent Limitation B(3) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit.

|// |// |// |// |// |// |// |// Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 5 of 15

| Date | Parameter | Observed Concentration | Basin Plan Water Quality Objective | Location (as identified by the Facility) |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2/13/2009 | pН | 9.1 | 6.5 - 8.5 | Outfall A |
| 2/13/2009 | Iron | 18 mg/L | 0.3 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 2/13/2009 | Copper | 0.02 mg/L | 0.01 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 2/13/2009 | Light brown color and turbid water observed | | Narrative | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Light brown color and turbid water observed | | Narrative | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Iron | 32 mg/L | 0.3 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Copper | 0.033 mg/L | 0.01 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Zinc | 0.12 mg/L | 0.1 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/25/2008 | Iron | 17 mg/L | 0.3 mg/L | Outfall B |
| 1/25/2008 | Copper | 0.027 mg/L | 0.01 mg/L | Outfall B |
| 1/25/2008 | pН | 9.09 | 6.5 - 8.5 | Outfall B |
| 1/22/2008 | pН | 8.88 | 6.5 - 8.5 | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2008 | Iron | 10 mg/L | 0.3 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2008 | Copper | 0.015 mg/L | 0.01 mg/L | Outfall B |
| 1/4/2008 | pН | 8.93 | 6.5 - 8.5 | Outfall A |

The following discharges of pollutants by Syar Concrete from the Facility have violated Discharge Prohibitions A(1) and A(2) and Receiving Water Limitations C(1) and C(2) and are evidence of ongoing violations of Effluent Limitation B(3) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit.

| Date | Parameter | Observed Concentration | EPA Benchmark Value | Location (as identified by the Facility) |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 2/13/2009 | pН | 9.1 | 6.0 - 9.0 | Outfall A |
| 2/13/2009 | Total Suspended Solids | 188 mg/L | 100 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 2/13/2009 | Iron | 18 mg/L | 1.0 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 2/13/2009 | Aluminum | 10 mg/L | 0.75 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Total Suspended Solids | 346 mg/L | 100 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Nitrate + Nitrate as N | 0.7 mg/L | 0.68 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Iron | 32 mg/L | 1.0 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Aluminum | 19 mg/L | 0.75 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2009 | Zinc | 0.12 mg/L | 0.117 mg/L | Outfall A |

Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit

Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 6 of 15

| 1/25/2008 | pН | 9.09 | 6.0 - 9.0 | Outfall B |
|-----------|------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1/25/2008 | Total Suspended Solids | 155 mg/L | 100 mg/L | Outfall B |
| 1/25/2008 | Iron | 17 mg/L | 1.0 mg/L | Outfall B |
| 1/25/2008 | Aluminum | 11 mg/L | 0.75 mg/L | Outfall B |
| 1/22/2008 | Iron | 10 mg/L | 1.0 mg/L | Outfall A |
| 1/22/2008 | Aluminum | 6.7 mg/L | 0.75 mg/L | Outfall A |

CSPA's investigation, including its review of Syar Concrete's analytical results documenting pollutants of applicable water quality standards, EPA's benchmark values and the State Board's proposed benchmark for electrical conductivity, indicates that Syar Concrete has not implemented BAT and BCT for its discharges of TSS, specific conductivity, iron, copper, zinc, aluminum, N+N, pH, and other pollutants, in violation of Effluent Limitation B(3) of the General Permit. Syar Concrete was required to have implemented BAT and BCT by no later than October 1, 1992 or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility. Thus, Syar Concrete is discharging polluted storm water associated with its industrial operations without having implemented BAT and BCT.

In addition, the above numbers indicate that the Facility is discharging polluted storm water in violation of Discharge Prohibitions A(1) and A(2) and Receiving Water Limitations C(1) and C(2) of the General Permit. CSPA alleges that such violations also have occurred and will occur on other rain dates, including every significant rain event that has occurred since May 21, 2005 or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility, and that will occur at the Facility subsequent to the date of this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit. Attachment A, attached hereto, sets forth each of the specific rain dates on which CSPA alleges that Syar Concrete has discharged storm water containing impermissible levels of TSS, specific conductivity, iron, copper, zinc, aluminum, N+N, pH in violation of Effluent Limitation B(3), Discharge Prohibitions A(1) and A(2), and Receiving Water Limitations C(1) and C(2) of the General Permit.

These unlawful discharges from the Facility are ongoing. Each discharge of each of these pollutants in storm water constitutes a separate violation of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit and the Act. Consistent with the five-year statute of limitations applicable to citizen enforcement actions brought pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act, Syar Concrete is subject to penalties for violations of the General Permit and the Act since May 21, 2005 or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility.

B. Failure to Submit a Legally Adequate Notice of Intent to Comply With the Terms of the General Permit

The General Permit requires that facility operators submit an NOI to obtain coverage for storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. The NOI must be submitted at least fourteen days prior to beginning operations at the facility. The requirements for the NOI are described in Attachment 3 to the General Permit. Section VI of Attachment 3 requires

Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 7 of 15

facility operators to provide the name of the receiving water where storm water discharge flows from the facility. If the water discharges indirectly to waters of the United States, facility operators must indicate the name of the closest receiving water. Section VIII of Attachment 3 requires facility operators to provide a "to scale" drawing of the facility and its immediate surroundings. This map must be as detailed as possible. Section VIII indicates that a location map may also be included but is "<u>not to be submitted as a substitute for the site map</u>." (emphasis original). Section IX of Attachment 3 provides the certification requirements for the NOI. For a corporation, the NOI must be signed by a responsible corporate officer or authorized individual. This certification, among other things, "provides assurances that the NOI and site map were completed by the facility operator in an accurate and complete fashion and with the knowledge that penalties exist for providing false information.

CSPA's review of Syar Concrete's NOI indicates that Syar Concrete has failed to comply with the NOI requirements set forth in the General Permit. Specifically, Syar Concrete failed to provide the name of the Facility's receiving water and failed to include a site map (Syar Concrete only submitted a location map). Syar Concrete also falsely certified the NOI by failing to comply with the receiving water and site map requirements articulated in Attachment 3 to the General Permit. Syar Concrete has been in continuous violation of Sections VI, VIII, and IX of Attachment 3 of the General Permit every day since October 3, 2007 at the very latest or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility, and will continue to be in violation every day that Syar Concrete fails to submit a legally adequate NOI. Syar Concrete is subject to penalties for violations of the Order and the Act occurring since October 3, 2007 or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility.

C. Failure to Prepare, Implement, Review and Update an Adequate Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.

Section A and Provision E(2) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit require dischargers of storm water associated with industrial activity to develop, implement, and update an adequate storm water pollution prevention plan ("SWPPP") no later than October 1, 1992. Section A(1) and Provision E(2) requires dischargers who submitted an NOI pursuant to the General Permit to continue following their existing SWPPP and implement any necessary revisions to their SWPPP in a timely manner, but in any case, no later than August 1, 1997.

The SWPPP must, among other requirements, identify and evaluate sources of pollutants associated with industrial activities that may affect the quality of storm and non-storm water discharges from the facility and identify and implement site-specific best management practices ("BMPs") to reduce or prevent pollutants associated with industrial activities in storm water and authorized non-storm water discharges (General Permit, Section A(2)). The SWPPP must include BMPs that achieve BAT and BCT (Effluent Limitation B(3)). The SWPPP must include: a description of individuals and their responsibilities for developing and implementing the SWPPP (General Permit, Section A(3)); a site map showing the facility boundaries, storm water drainage areas with flow pattern and nearby water bodies, the location of the storm water

Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 8 of 15

collection, conveyance and discharge system, structural control measures, impervious areas, areas of actual and potential pollutant contact, and areas of industrial activity (General Permit, Section A(4)); a list of significant materials handled and stored at the site (General Permit, Section A(5)); a description of potential pollutant sources including industrial processes, material handling and storage areas, dust and particulate generating activities, a description of significant spills and leaks, a list of all non-storm water discharges and their sources, and a description of locations where soil erosion may occur (General Permit, Section A(6)).

The SWPPP also must include an assessment of potential pollutant sources at the Facility and a description of the BMPs to be implemented at the Facility that will reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges, including structural BMPs where non-structural BMPs are not effective (General Permit, Section A(7), (8)). The SWPPP must be evaluated to ensure effectiveness and must be revised where necessary (General Permit, Section A(9),(10)).

CSPA's investigation of the conditions at the Facility and review of Syar Concrete's Annual Reports indicates that Syar Concrete has been operating with an inadequately developed or implemented SWPPP in violation of the requirements set forth above. Syar Concrete has failed to evaluate the effectiveness of its BMPs and to revise its SWPPP as necessary. Syar Concrete has been in continuous violation of Section A and Provision E(2) of the General Permit every day since May 21, 2005 at the very latest or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility, and will continue to be in violation every day that Syar Concrete fails to prepare, implement, review, and update an effective SWPPP. Syar Concrete is subject to penalties for violations of the Order and the Act occurring since May 21, 2005 or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility.

D. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program

Section B of the General Permit describes the monitoring requirements for storm water and non-storm water discharges. Facilities are required to make monthly visual observations of storm water discharges (Section B(4)) and quarterly visual observations of both unauthorized and authorized non-storm water discharges (Section B(3)). Section B(5) requires facility operators to sample and analyze at least two storm water discharges from all storm water discharge locations during each wet season. Section B(7) requires that the visual observations and samples must represent the "quality and quantity of the facility's storm water discharges from the storm event."

The above referenced data was obtained from the Facility's monitoring program as reported in its Annual Reports submitted to the Regional Board. This data is evidence that the Facility has violated various Discharge Prohibitions, Receiving Water Limitations, and Effluent Limitations in the General Permit. To the extent the storm water data collected by Syar Concrete is not representative of the quality of the Facility's various storm water discharges, CSPA, on information and belief, alleges that the Facility's monitoring program violates Sections B(3), (4),

Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 9 of 15

(5) and (7) of the General Permit. Consistent with the five-year statute of limitations applicable to citizen enforcement actions brought pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act, Syar Concrete is subject to penalties for violations of the General Permit and the Act's monitoring and sampling requirements since May 21, 2005 or the date on which Syar Concrete purchased or otherwise began operations at the Facility.

E. Failure to File True and Correct Annual Reports.

Section B(14) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit requires dischargers to submit an Annual Report by July 1st of each year to the executive officer of the relevant Regional Board. The Annual Report must be signed and certified by an appropriate corporate officer. General Permit, Sections B(14), C(9), (10). Section A(9)(d) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit requires the discharger to include in their annual report an evaluation of their storm water controls, including certifying compliance with the General Industrial Storm Water Permit. *See also* General Permit, Sections C(9) and (10) and B(14).

For at least the last two years, Syar Concrete and its agent, Toby Goyette, inaccurately certified in the Facility's Annual Reports that the facility was in compliance with the General Permit. Consequently, Syar Concrete has violated Sections A(9)(d), B(14) and C(9) & (10) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit every time Syar Concrete or its agent failed to submit a complete or correct report and every time Syar Concrete or its agent falsely purported to comply with the Act. Syar Concrete is subject to penalties for violations of Section (C) of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit and the Act occurring since at least June 26, 2008.

IV. Persons Responsible for the Violations.

CSPA puts Syar Concrete LLC, Toby Goyette and Steve Batson on notice that they are the persons responsible for the violations described above. If additional persons are subsequently identified as also being responsible for the violations set forth above, CSPA puts Syar Concrete LLC, Toby Goyette and Steve Batson on notice that it intends to include those subsequently identified persons in this action.

V. Name and Address of Noticing Party.

Our name, address and telephone number is as follows:

Bill Jennings, Executive Director California Sportfishing Protection Alliance 3536 Rainier Avenue Stockton, CA 95204 Tel. (209) 464-5067 Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 10 of 15

VI. Counsel.

CSPA has retained legal counsel to represent it in this matter. Please direct all communications to:

Michael R. Lozeau Douglas J. Chermak Lozeau Drury LLP 1516 Oak Street, Suite 216 Alameda, California 94501 Tel. (510) 749-9102 michael@lozeaudrury.com doug@lozeaudrury.com

VII. Penalties.

Pursuant to Section 309(d) of the Act (33 U.S.C. § 1319(d)) and the Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation (40 C.F.R. § 19.4) each separate violation of the Act subjects Syar Concrete to a penalty of up to \$32,500 per day per violation for all violations occurring during the period commencing five years prior to the date of this Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit through January 12, 2009, and a maximum of \$37,500 per day per violation for all violations occurring after January 12, 2009. In addition to civil penalties, CSPA will seek injunctive relief preventing further violations of the Act pursuant to Sections 505(a) and (d) (33 U.S.C. §1365(a) and (d)) and such other relief as permitted by law. Lastly, Section 505(d) of the Act (33 U.S.C. § 1365(d)), permits prevailing parties to recover costs and fees, including attorneys' fees.

CSPA believes this Notice of Violations and Intent to File Suit sufficiently states grounds for filing suit. We intend to file a citizen suit under Section 505(a) of the Act against Syar Concrete and its agents for the above-referenced violations upon the expiration of the 60-day notice period. However, during the 60-day notice period, we would be willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations noted in this letter. If you wish to pursue such discussions in the absence of litigation, we suggest that you initiate those discussions within the next 20 days

///

///

^{///} ///

Batson and Goyette Syar Concrete LLC – Woodland Plant May 21, 2010 Page 11 of 15

so that they may be completed before the end of the 60-day notice period. We do not intend to delay the filing of a complaint in federal court if discussions are continuing when that period ends.

Sincerely,

Bill Jennings, Executive Director California Sportfishing Protection Alliance

cc via First Class: Ralston Roberts, Registered Agent (Syar Concrete, Inc., 2301 Napa Vallejo Highway, Napa, California 94558)

SERVICE LIST

Lisa Jackson, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460

Dorothy Rice, Executive Director State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Eric Holder, U.S. Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20530-0001

Jared Blumenfeld, Regional Administrator U.S. EPA – Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Pamela C. Creedon, Executive Officer Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region 11020 Sun Center Drive #200 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6114

ATTACHMENT A Rain Dates, Syar Concrete, Woodland, CA

| L 0.2005 | |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| June 8, 2005 | February 2, 2006 |
| June 9, 2005 | February 3, 2006 |
| June 17, 2005 | February 4, 2006 |
| September 27, 2005 | February 18, 2006 |
| October 15, 2005 | February 19, 2006 |
| October 26, 2005 | February 27, 2006 |
| October 28, 2005 | March 1, 2006 |
| October 29, 2005 | March 2, 2006 |
| November 4, 2005 | March 3, 2006 |
| November 8, 2005 | March 5, 2006 |
| November 25, 2005 | March 6, 2006 |
| November 26, 2005 | March 7, 2006 |
| November 28, 2005 | March 8, 2006 |
| November 29, 2005 | March 9, 2006 |
| December 1, 2005 | March 13, 2006 |
| December 2, 2005 | March 14, 2006 |
| December 8, 2005 | March 15, 2006 |
| December 18, 2005 | March 17, 2006 |
| December 19, 2005 | March 21, 2006 |
| December 21, 2005 | March 22, 2006 |
| December 22, 2005 | March 24, 2006 |
| December 23, 2005 | March 25, 2006 |
| December 25, 2005 | March 26, 2006 |
| December 26, 2005 | March 28, 2006 |
| December 27, 2005 | March 29, 2006 |
| December 28, 2005 | March 30, 2006 |
| December 29, 2005 | March 31, 2006 |
| December 30, 2005 | April 1, 2006 |
| December 31, 2005 | April 2, 2006 |
| January 1, 2006 | April 3, 2006 |
| January 2, 2006 | April 4, 2006 |
| January 3, 2006 | April 5, 2006 |
| January 4, 2006 | April 6, 2006 |
| January 11, 2006 | April 7, 2006 |
| January 14, 2006 | April 8, 2006 |
| January 15, 2006 | April 9, 2006 |
| January 18, 2006 | April 10, 2006 |
| January 19, 2006 | April 11, 2006 |
| January 21, 2006 | April 12, 2006 |
| January 27, 2006 | April 13, 2006 |
| January 28, 2006 | April 14, 2006 |
| January 29, 2006 | April 15, 2006 |
| January 31, 2006 | April 16, 2006 |
| February 1, 2006 | April 17, 2006 |
| | -r, 2000 |

| April 23, | 2006 |
|------------------------------|------|
| May 20, | 2006 |
| May 22, | 2006 |
| May 23, | |
| October 5, | |
| November 3, | |
| November 13, | |
| November 14, | |
| November 16, | |
| November 22, | |
| November 22, November 27, | |
| December 11, | |
| December 12, | |
| December 12, December 14, | |
| December 14, December 15, | |
| | |
| December 27, | |
| February 7, | 2007 |
| February 8, | |
| February 9, | |
| February 10, | |
| February 11, | |
| February 13, | |
| February 22, | |
| February 23, | |
| February 24, | |
| February 26, | |
| February 27, | |
| February 28, | |
| March 1, | |
| March 21, | |
| March 27, | |
| April 11, | 2007 |
| April 14, | 2007 |
| April 15, | |
| April 22, | |
| April 23, | |
| May 2, | |
| May 4, | |
| July 18, | |
| September 20, October 1, | |
| , | |
| October 10, | |
| October 12, | |
| October 13, | 2007 |

ATTACHMENT A

Rain Dates, Syar Concrete, Woodland, CA

| Ostalar 17, 2007 | No | Estansen 19, 2000 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| October 17, 2007 | November 3, 2008 | February 18, 2009 |
| October 18, 2007 | November 4, 2008 | February 22, 2009 |
| November 11, 2007 | November 9, 2008 | February 23, 2009 |
| December 4, 2007 | November 27, 2008 | February 24, 2009 |
| December 5, 2007 | November 28, 2008 | February 25, 2009 |
| December 6, 2007 | November 29, 2008 | February 26, 2009 |
| December 7, 2007 | November 30, 2008 | February 27, 2009 |
| December 17, 2007 | December 1, 2008 | March 1, 2009 |
| December 18, 2007 | December 2, 2008 | March 2, 2009 |
| December 19, 2007 | December 3, 2008 | March 3, 2009 |
| December 20, 2007 | December 5, 2008 | March 4, 2009 |
| December 29, 2007 | December 6, 2008 | March 5, 2009 |
| January 4, 2008 | December 8, 2008 | March 6, 2009 |
| January 5, 2008 | December 9, 2008 | March 22, 2009 |
| January 6, 2008 | December 10, 2008 | April 8, 2009 |
| January 9, 2008 | December 12, 2008 | April 10, 2009 |
| January 10, 2008 | December 15, 2008 | April 13, 2009 |
| January 11, 2008 | December 16, 2008 | April 24, 2009 |
| January 12, 2008 | December 17, 2008 | May 1, 2009 |
| January 13, 2008 | December 19, 2008 | May 2, 2009 |
| January 22, 2008 | December 21, 2008 | May 3, 2009 |
| January 23, 2008 | December 22, 2008 | May 5, 2009 |
| January 24, 2008 | December 24, 2008 | June 4, 2009 |
| January 25, 2008 | December 25, 2008 | June 19, 2009 |
| January 26, 2008 | December 31, 2008 | October 13, 2009 |
| January 27, 2008 | January 1, 2009 | October 14, 2009 |
| January 28, 2008 | January 2, 2009 | October 15, 2009 |
| January 29, 2008 | January 5, 2009 | October 19, 2009 |
| January 30, 2008 | January 6, 2009 | November 17, 2009 |
| January 31, 2008 | January 7, 2009 | November 20, 2009 |
| February 1, 2008 | January 9, 2009 | November 27, 2009 |
| February 2, 2008 | January 22, 2009 | December 6, 2009 |
| February 3, 2008 | January 23, 2009 | December 7, 2009 |
| February 20, 2008 | January 24, 2009 | December 10, 2009 |
| February 21, 2008 | February 5, 2009 | December 11, 2009 |
| February 22, 2008 | February 6, 2009 | December 12, 2009 |
| February 23, 2008 | February 7, 2009 | December 13, 2009 |
| February 24, 2008 | February 9, 2009 | December 16, 2009 |
| February 25, 2008 | February 11, 2009 | December 18, 2009 |
| April 23, 2008 | February 12, 2009 | December 20, 2009 |
| May 24, 2008 | February 13, 2009 | December 21, 2009 |
| October 4, 2008 | February 14, 2009 | December 27, 2009 |
| October 31, 2008 | February 15, 2009 | December 29, 2009 |
| November 1, 2008 | February 16, 2009 | December 30, 2009 |
| November 2, 2008 | February 17, 2009 | January 1, 2010 |
| , | | |

ATTACHMENT A

Rain Dates, Syar Concrete, Woodland, CA

| January 3, 2010 | February 27, 2010 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| January 8, 2010 | March 2, 2010 |
| January 9, 2010 | March 3, 2010 |
| January 12, 2010 | March 10, 2010 |
| January 13, 2010 | March 12, 2010 |
| January 16, 2010 | March 24, 2010 |
| January 17, 2010 | March 25, 2010 |
| January 18, 2010 | March 29, 2010 |
| January 19, 2010 | March 30, 2010 |
| January 20, 2010 | March 31, 2010 |
| - | |
| January 21, 2010 | April 2, 2010 |
| January 22, 2010 | April 4, 2010 |
| January 23, 2010 | April 11, 2010 |
| January 24, 2010 | April 12, 2010 |
| January 25, 2010 | April 19, 2010 |
| January 26, 2010 | April 20, 2010 |
| January 29, 2010 | April 21, 2010 |
| February 1, 2010 | April 28, 2010 |
| February 4, 2010 | May 10, 2010 |
| February 5, 2010 | May 17, 2010 |
| February 6, 2010 | May 19, 2010 |
| February 8, 2010 | |
| February 9, 2010 | |
| February 12, 2010 | |
| February 17, 2010 | |
| February 18, 2010 | |
| February 23, 2010 | |
| February 24, 2010 | |
| February 26, 2010 | |
| = = = =, = 0, = 0 = 0 | |